

The Cosmological Constant and the Coincidence Problem in a New Cosmological Interpretation of the Universal Constant “ c ”

David Viennot · J.-M. Vigoureux

Received: 14 November 2008 / Accepted: 1 April 2009 / Published online: 15 April 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Abstract In a recent paper (Vigoureux et al. in Int. J. Theor. Phys. 47:928, 2007) it has been suggested that the velocity of light and the expansion of the universe are two aspects of one single concept connecting space and time in the expanding universe. It has then been shown that solving Friedmann’s equations with that interpretation (and keeping $c = \text{constant}$) can explain number of unnatural features of the standard cosmology (for example: the flatness problem, the problem of the observed uniformity in term of temperature and density of the cosmological background radiation, the small-scale inhomogeneity problem...) and leads to reconsider the Hubble diagram of distance moduli and redshifts as obtained from recent observations of type Ia supernovae without having to need an accelerating universe. In the present work we examine the problem of the cosmological constant. We show that our model can exactly generate Λ (equation of state $P_\varphi = -\rho_\varphi c^2$ with $\Lambda \propto R^{-2}$) contrarily to the standard model which cannot generate it exactly. We also show how it can solve the so-called cosmic coincidence problem.

Keywords Cosmology · Cosmological constant · Quintessence · Accelerating universe · Velocity of light · Universal constant

1 Introduction

The constant c was first introduced as the speed of light. However, with the development of physics, it came to be understood as playing a more fundamental role, its significance being not directly that of a usual velocity (even though its dimensions are) and one might thus think of c as being a fundamental constant of the universe. Moreover, the advent of Einsteinian

D. Viennot
Observatoire de Besançon—Institut UTINAM (CNRS UMR 6213), Université de Franche-Comté,
41 bis Avenue de l’Observatoire, BP1615, 25030 Besançon cedex, France

J.-M. Vigoureux (✉)
Institut UTINAM (CNRS UMR 6213), Université de Franche-Comté, 16 route de Gray,
25030 Besançon cedex, France
e-mail: jean-marie.vigoureux@univ-fcomte.fr

relativity, the fact that c does appear in phenomena where there is neither light nor any motion (for example in the fundamental equation $E = mc^2$) and its double-interpretation in terms of “velocity of light” and of “velocity of gravitation” force everybody to associate c with the theoretical description of space-time itself rather than that of some of its specific contents. These considerations lead to connect c to the geometry of the universe [1, 2]. In that interpretation, the velocity of light c and the expansion of the universe are two aspects of one single concept connecting space and time in the expanding universe. This gives to c a geometrical meaning and makes of it a true *universal* quantity of the universe which can be defined from its size and its age without any other considerations.

Taking this into account, the fundamental equation for c is

$$c = \alpha \dot{R} \quad (1)$$

where α is a positive constant and where R is the Robertson-Walker scale factor (a discussion concerning this equation can be found in [1]). It must be noted that here c , and consequently \dot{R} , are constant. With these assumptions, the theory of general relativity is unchanged, and consequently the Friedmann equations are still valid.

In a previous paper [1] we have shown that solving Friedmann's equations with that interpretation of c can explain number of unnatural features of the standard cosmology (the flatness problem, the problem of the observed uniformity in terms of temperature and density of the cosmological background radiation, the small-scale inhomogeneity problem...) and also leads to reconsider the Hubble diagram of distance moduli and redshifts as obtained from recent observations of type Ia supernovae [3] *without having to need an accelerating universe*. In the present paper we are interested by other particular solutions of the usual Friedmann equations satisfying the additional (1).

In the Λ Cold Dark Matter model (Λ CDM model), a cosmological constant Λ is needed to explain the expansion acceleration [4]. A quintessence fluid is then often used to describe the source of the dark energy [4–8]. Noting then ρ_φ and P_φ the mass density and the pressure of that fluid, it is then expected to get $P_\varphi = -\rho_\varphi c^2$ with $\rho_\varphi \propto R^{-n}$ with $n \neq 0$ for the equation of state of the quintessence fluid. However, it has been shown that these two conditions cannot be simultaneously fulfilled in the standard model so that the standard model cannot generate perfectly the cosmological constant.

In the present model, *where no acceleration is needed to explain the Hubble diagram of distance moduli and redshifts as obtained from recent observations of type Ia supernovae* [1], a cosmological constant is nevertheless necessary to ensure the consistency of (1) with the state equation of the cosmic fluid. We consequently consider the problem and we show that our model can exactly generate the cosmological constant Λ . In fact, when using (1), we obtain *as expected* (and contrarily to the Λ CDM model which cannot exactly find this solution), the equations $P_\varphi = -\rho_\varphi c^2$ and $\rho_\varphi \propto R^{-2}$ for the equation of state of the quintessence fluid which generates Λ and for its mass density respectively. The expression $\rho_\varphi \propto R^{-2}$ implies $\Lambda \propto R^{-2}$ and consequently means that the effective cosmological constant must vary with time. Such a result has been extensively discussed in the literature (see for example [5, 9, 10]) and has been shown to be consistent with quantum cosmology [6]). We also show how our model can solve the problem of the so-called “cosmic coincidence”.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Sect. 2 briefly recalls some important results of the standard cosmology that we need in what follows. Then we explain the problem of the cosmological constant in the standard model and in our. We finally show how (1) can solve the coincidence problem.

2 The Evolution of the Cosmic Fluid in the Standard Model

Our aim in this part is to recall some well-known results we need in what follows. Let $\rho(t)$ and $P(t)$ be the mass density and the pressure of the cosmic fluid respectively. When there is no cosmological constant ($\Lambda = 0$), the two Friedmann's equations are

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{kc^2}{R^2} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{3P}{c^2}\right) \quad (3)$$

where overdots are time derivatives. Noting as usually $P = \beta\rho c^2$ the above equation can also be written

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\rho(1 + 3\beta) \quad (4)$$

Integrating these equations in the case of a flat universe ($k = 0$), leads to the following results for ρ :

In a matter-dominated universe ($P = 0$) one gets

$$\rho \propto \frac{1}{R^3} \quad (5)$$

In a radiation-dominated universe ($P = \frac{1}{3}\rho c^2$), one gets

$$\rho \propto \frac{1}{R^4} \quad (6)$$

In the most general case ($P = \beta\rho c^2$ with $\beta > 0$), one gets

$$\rho \propto \frac{1}{R^{3(\beta+1)}} \quad (7)$$

3 The Problem of the Cosmological Constant

To explain the origin of the cosmological constant, some models introduce a quintessence fluid the mass density and the pressure of which (denoted ρ_φ and P_φ) being thus to be included in the Friedmann's equations. Assuming then, as is usual, that the equation of state of the quintessence fluid has the form

$$P_\varphi = \gamma\rho_\varphi c^2 \quad (8)$$

(where the constant $\gamma < 0$, which has to be determined, must be negative to get an anti-gravity), the two Friedmann's equations (2) and (4) become:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho + \rho_\varphi) - \frac{kc^2}{R^2} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho(1 + 3\beta) + \rho_\varphi(1 + 3\gamma)) \quad (10)$$

Noting then

$$\Lambda = 8\pi G \rho_\varphi \quad (11)$$

in the first equation (9), and

$$\Lambda = -4\pi G \rho_\varphi (1 + 3\gamma) \quad (12)$$

in the second (10), one obtains the two usual Friedmann's equation with the cosmological constant Λ :

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{kc^2}{R^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \left(\rho + \frac{3P}{c^2} \right) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \quad (14)$$

Of course, Λ must be the same in the two above equations, so that (9) and (10) are coherent (and consequently the quintessence fluid can generate the cosmological constant Λ) if and only if (11) and (12) give the same value for Λ that is to say if and only if

$$\gamma = -1 \quad (15)$$

or, by inserting this result inside (8), if and only if

$$P_\varphi = -\rho_\varphi c^2 \quad (16)$$

(At this point, it must be underlined that observations of supernovae show that $\gamma = -1.02^{+0.13}_{-0.19}$ [3] as expected in (15).)

4 The Cosmological Constant in the Standard Cosmology

Derivating (9) and inserting (10) into the result gives the equation

$$-3 \frac{\dot{R}}{R} (\rho(1 + \beta) + \rho_\varphi(1 + \gamma)) = \dot{\rho} + \dot{\rho}_\varphi \quad (17)$$

the solution of which for ρ_φ is

$$\rho_\varphi \propto \frac{1}{R^{3(\gamma+1)}} \quad (18)$$

The coherence condition $\gamma = -1$ (15) then leads to $\rho_\varphi = Cst$, and, using (11), to $\Lambda = Cst$. This result ($\rho_\varphi = Cst$) makes Λ to be a pure constant but in that case the quintessence fluid doesn't dilute ($\rho_\varphi = Cst$) when the universe expands which is rather surprising for a fluid which would, on the contrary, verify $\rho_\varphi \propto R^{-n}$ with $n \neq 0$. The fact that the two conditions $\gamma = -1$ and $\rho_\varphi \propto R^{-n} \neq Cst$ cannot be simultaneously fulfilled shows that the standard model cannot generate perfectly the cosmological constant in the Friedmann's equations.

5 The Cosmological Constant in the Present Model

Our aim now is to show that, contrarily to what is found with the standard model, the two conditions $P_\varphi = -\rho_\varphi c^2$ ($\gamma = -1$) and $\rho_\varphi \propto R^{-n}$ with $n \neq 0$ can be simultaneously fulfilled when using (1)

When using (1), the two Friedmann's equations (9) and (10) become:

$$\left(\frac{c}{\alpha R}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \frac{\rho + \rho_\varphi}{1 + k\alpha^2} \quad (19)$$

and

$$0 = \rho(1 + 3\beta) + \rho_\varphi(1 + 3\gamma) \quad (20)$$

Calculating ρ_φ from the second equation and inserting the result with $\gamma = -1$ into (19) give

$$\rho = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{(1 + k\alpha^2)}{\alpha^2} \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \frac{1}{R^2} \quad (21)$$

$$2\rho_\varphi = \rho(1 + 3\beta) \quad (22)$$

Using then (11) we find

$$\rho = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{(1 + k\alpha^2)}{\alpha^2} \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \frac{1}{R^2} \quad (23)$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{c^2(1 + k\alpha^2)}{\alpha^2} \frac{1 + 3\beta}{1 + \beta} \frac{1}{R^2} \quad (24)$$

We thus find

$$\rho \propto \frac{1}{R^2} \quad (25)$$

and, using (22)

$$\rho_\varphi \propto \frac{1}{R^2} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\Lambda \propto \frac{1}{R^2} \quad (27)$$

whatever may be $\beta \neq -1$, that is to say, whatever may be the equation of state of the cosmic fluid, whereas in the standard cosmology ρ does depend on β ($\rho \propto \frac{1}{R^{3(\beta+1)}}$). Contrarily to what is obtained in the standard cosmology, the present model thus do fulfill the two conditions $\gamma = -1$ and $\rho_\varphi \propto R^{-n}$ (with $n \neq 0$, here with $n = 2$) simultaneously. It can consequently explain the origin of the cosmological constant with a quintessence fluid which dilutes, as expected, when the universe expands.

That result (27) of course means that Λ varies with time. Such a cosmology with a time variable cosmological constant has been extensively discussed in the literature [5, 9]. (Our result (27) joins that of Ref. [11] in a different context.) Moreover, it must be underlined here that (27) has been shown to be in conformity with quantum cosmology [6] and that a varying cosmological constant leads to no conflict with existing observations [3].

Let us add that a key problem with the quintessence proposal is to explain the so-called cosmic coincidence problem. In fact, it appears that the conditions in the early universe have to be set very carefully in order the densities ρ and ρ_φ are comparable today. This problem is clarified in the present approach. In fact, (22) shows that, as expected, ρ and ρ_φ have the same order of magnitude *at all times*. Moreover, it also shows that the two energy densities ρ and ρ_φ are exactly equal when $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$ that is to say in a radiation dominated epoch.

We can also note that (1) can also explain why the mass density of the cosmic fluid is so near the critical density ρ_c : noting in fact, that the critical density is given by

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_c \quad (28)$$

and that (2) with (1) gives in the case of a spherical universe ($k = 1$),

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 (1 + \alpha^2) = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \quad (29)$$

we easily find that the present model gives

$$\rho = \rho_c (1 + \alpha^2) \quad (30)$$

At this point, it can be useful to recall (see [1]) that *in the present model a spherical universe ($k = 1$) must appear to be flat but with a smaller mass than expected* in the standard cosmology.

6 Conclusions

To conclude, our model

- Leads, as expected, to the equation of state $P_\varphi = \gamma \rho_\varphi c^2 = -\rho_\varphi c^2$ for the fluid which generates the cosmological constant.
- It agrees with observations of supernovae which show that $\gamma = -1.02^{+0.13}_{-0.19}$ [3].
- Contrarily to the standard cosmology, it agrees with the constraint needed for the sake of coherence when introducing a cosmological constant in the two Friedmann's equations (we mean it can perfectly generate the cosmological constant).
- It also conducts to the equation $\rho_\varphi \propto R^{-n}$ with $n \neq 0$ (here $n = 2$) as expected for a fluid which of course must dilute when the universe expands.

Two appealing features of our model are that it can simultaneously accommodate the equation of state $P_\varphi = -\rho_\varphi c^2$ of the quintessence fluid which generates Λ with a varying density $\rho_\varphi \propto \frac{1}{R^2}$. That last result has been shown to be in conformity with quantum cosmology [6] and a $\frac{1}{R^2}$ varying cosmological constant also appears to lead to no conflict with existing observations [3]. As explained above, the present model also explains why ρ and ρ_φ are comparable and thus solve the coincidence problem. Using (22) it also shows why the present value of the critical energy density ρ_c is so near the present energy density ρ of the universe (let us recall that, as explained in [1], when using (1) the condition $\rho = \rho_c$ doesn't need to be in a flat universe).

Noting that (1) leads to other interesting ways to explain unnatural features of the standard cosmology [1] (for example: the flatness problem, the problem of the observed uniformity in term of temperature and density of the cosmological background radiation, the

small-scale inhomogeneity problem) and that it also permits to reconsider the Hubble diagram of distance moduli and redshifts as obtained from recent observations of type Ia supernovae without having to need an accelerating universe, we may think that the present results can lead to a satisfactory cosmology.

References

1. Vigoureux, J.-M., Vigoureux, P., Vigoureux, B.: Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47**, 928 (2007)
2. Vigoureux, J.-M., Vigoureux, B., Vigoureux, P.: Found. Phys. Lett. **16**, 183 (2003)
3. Riess, A.G., et al.: Astrophys. J. **607**, 665 (2004)
4. Carroll, S.M.: Living Rev. Relativ. **4**, 1 (2001)
5. Ratra, B., Peebles, P.J.E.: Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988)
6. Chen, W., Wu, Y.-S.: Phys. Rev. D **41**, 695 (1990)
7. Benabed, K., Bernardeau, F.: Phys. Rev. D **64**, 083501 (2001)
8. Steinhardt, P.J., Wang, L., Zlatev, I.: Phys. Rev. D **59**, 123504 (1999)
9. Ford, L.: Phys. Rev. D **31**, 710 (1985)
10. Peebles, P.J.E., Ratra, B.: Astrophys. J. **325**, L17 (1988)
11. Özer, M., Taha, M.O.: Nucl. Phys. B **287**, 776 (1987)